

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,035	02/21/2002	Sridhar Kanamaluru	2695.1003-007	9148	
21005	7590 02/08/2005		EXAM	INER	
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			NGUYEN,	nguyen, quynh h	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2642		

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant/s)			
	Аррисацоп но.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	10/081,035	KANAMALURU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Quynh H Nguyen	2642			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  (HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	21 February 2002.				
· <u> </u>	<del>_</del>				
closed in accordance with the practice und	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-30</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	ndrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 February 2002 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rrection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). c) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmany (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	) Paper No(s)	/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/22/02</u> .	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -·			

Application/Control Number: 10/081,035

Art Unit: 2642

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,370,398. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application present a compact lightweight antenna for receiving microwave direct line of sigh wireless data signals used in services such as Local Multipoint Distribution Services (LMDS). The antenna comprising of an external parabolically shaped dome formed of a suitably resilient material such as thermoplastic; a polarizing conductive grating; a twist reflector; the transreflecting element may be manufactured by providing a substrate that has been printed and etched and a film nonconductive substrate which had been silk screened

Art Unit: 2642

with a conductive ink. Claims 1-20 of the instant application substantially corresponds to claims 1-19, respectively, of U.S. Patent No. 6,370,398.

The common subject matter claimed above includes: an antenna for use in a wireless communication system comprising: a housing having a dome shaped exterior portion; a focusing transreflector; a twist reflector centered along the axis and located at a distance away from the transreflector such that the twist reflector reflects received radiation back towards the focusing transreflector and imparts a polarization to the received radiation thereby reflected so that the focusing transreflector causes the reflected and polarized radiation to be focused along the axis.

The difference between the instant application and U.S. Patent No. 6,370,398 is in the instant application the focusing transreflector consisting of conductive grating disposed along a surface of the dome and further defining an axis for the antenna, the orientation of the conductive grating such that radiation having a particular polarization passed through the conductive grating and radiation of other polarizations is reflected by the conductive grating; wherein the conductive grating is formed of a plurality of parallel conductors with a spacing typically less than one-fifth of the wavelength of a carrier frequency used in the wireless communication system; while in U.S. Patent No. 6,370,398 the focusing transreflector consisting of wire grid disposed along a surface of the dome and further defining an axis for the antenna, the orientation of the wire grid and radiation having a particular polarization passed through the wire grid and radiation of other polarizations is reflected by the wire grid, wherein the conductive grating is formed of a plurality of parallel conductors with a spacing typically less than

Art Unit: 2642

one-fifth of the wavelength of a carrier frequency used in the wireless communication system of a carrier frequency used in the wireless communication system.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a focusing transreflector consisting of a conductive grating disposed along a surface of the dome; wherein the conductive grating is formed of a plurality of parallel conductors with a spacing typically less than one-fifth of the wavelength of a carrier frequency used in the wireless communication system instead of using wire grid material wherein the conductive grating is formed of a plurality of parallel conductors with a spacing typically less than one-fifth of the wavelength of a carrier frequency used in the wireless communication system since the conductive grating is also light and inexpensive resilient material.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 21-30 are allowed.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Huguenin et al. (U.S. Patent 5,680,139) teach compact microwave and millimeter wave radars. The antenna of the radars employs folded optic design, with the actual 'focal length of the radar being less that that of the lens in the antenna alone comprises a lens for focusing electromagnetic radiation and defining an axis of the antenna, a transreflector in a plane orthogonal to and disposed on the axis at a first distance from

the lens, and a twistreflector substantially in a plane orthogonal to and disposed on the axis at a second distance greater than the first distance from the lens.

Britt (U.S. Patent 4,220,957) teaches dual frequency horn antenna system providing two, coaxial, copolarized, independently focused beams: a relatively wide, low frequency, searching beam, and a relatively narrow, high frequency, tracking beam; and comprising a dual frequency, dual polarization feedhorn; a polarization dependent subreflector; a concave polarization reversing reflector, a concave polarization twisting reflect of; and a planar frequency dependent dielectric lens.

As to claim 21, prior art of record fails to teach, or render obvious, alone or in combination, a method for making an antenna for use in a wireless communication system comprising the claimed means and their components, relationships, and functionalities as specifically recited in claim 21.

Claims 22-30 are allowed because they depend on allowable claim 21.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quynh H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-5451. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,035

Art Unit: 2642

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

qhn

Quynh H. Nguyen February 3, 2005

WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6